

## UPUTSTVO ZA RECENZENTE

Prispele rukopise, odobrene od strane Redakcije, Urednik upućuje na recenziju.

Recenzije su tajne. Ima najmanje dva recenzenta koji se biraju u odnosu na disciplinarnu oblast kojoj recenzirani rad pripada. Recenzenti se biraju iz najviših univerzitetskih nastavničkih i naučnih zvanja.

Recenzija se obavlja na posebnom obrascu za to predviđenom koji Redakcija šalje recenzentima, bez imena i prezimena autora i bez drugih podataka koji bi otkrili identitet autora teksta. Konačnu odluku o objavljivanju teksta donosi Redakcija.

U rubrikama, koje se odnose na podatke o radu, recenzent upisuje:

- Naslov rada
- Oblast istraživanja (disciplinarni domen rada)
- Predmet analize (čemu je rad posvećen)
- Način interpretacije (teorijski okvir i metodološki postupak)
- Zaključci (osnovni nalazi u radu).

Kao konačni sud o radu, koji ima uticaja na njegovo objavljivanje, recenzent u rubrici *Zaključak recenzenta* treba da označi samo jednu od tri ponuđene opcije:

1. *Rad treba objaviti u celini*
2. *Rad bi trebalo objaviti pošto se izvrše potrebne ispravke, s obrazloženjem traženih ispravki*
3. *Rad ne treba objavljivati, s kratkim obrazloženjem.*

U odgovarajućim rubrikama, recenzent navodi svoje ime i prezime, zvanje, kao i pun naziv ustanove u kojoj je zaposlen, mesto i datum recenziranja. Ti podaci su poverljivi, ostaju kod Redakcije i ne šalju se autoru rada, osim traženih ispravki, sugestija i primedbi ako ih ima.

**Molimo Vas da Recenzentski obrazac popunite prema ovom uputstvu.**

*Zahvaljujemo Vam na saradnji.*

Redakcija časopisa ETNOANTROPOLOŠKI PROBLEMI

## INSTRUCTIONS TO PEER REVIEWERS

Submitted manuscripts, approved by the Editorial Board, are sent by Editor-in-Chief to referees for peer review.

Reviewers are chosen based on their research and/or lecturing eminence and competence in the research area in which particular submitted paper belong to. Reviewers are selected from the highest university teaching and scientific titles.

Reviews are confidential (anonymous peer reviews). There are minimum two peer reviewers. The final decision about publishing is made by the Editorial Board.

Reviews are conducted in a unique pattern - **Peer Review Form**, which is sent by the Redaction to peer reviewers without any information what so ever about the identity of the author. In columns related to the papers data, peer reviewer must fill in:

- The Title of the submitted paper
- Area of Research
- Subject of Analysis
- Methodology and Interpretation
- Basic Conclusions

As a final evaluation of the paper which influences it's publishing, the peer reviewer must check one of the three following options in *Reviewer's conclusions* section:

1. *Paper to be published without intervention*
2. *Paper to be published with mandatory changes*, with explanation of mandatory changes
3. *Paper should not be published*, with short explanation.

In the suited columns, the peer reviewer is requested to fill in his/her name, surname, title, the name of the institution where he/she is employed and the date and place of the peer reviewing. These data are confidential and stay with the Redaction, and are not sent to the author of the reviewed paper, except for explanation of mandatory changes and other remarks.

**The reviewer is asked to fill the Peer Review Form accordingly to these instructions.**

*Your cooperation is appreciated.*

Redaction of the ISSUES IN ETHNOLOGY AND ANTHROPOLOGY